miaa®

Recommendations Follow Up
Assignment Report 2023/24

Cheshire Fire and Rescue Service

January 2024

Contents

1 Executive Summary

Appendix A: Assurance Definitions and Risk Classifications

Appendix B: Report Distribution

MIAA would like to thank all staff for their co-operation and assistance in completing this review.

This report has been prepared as commissioned by the organisation, and is for your sole use. If you have any queries regarding this review please contact the Engagement Manager. To discuss any other issues then please contact the Director.



1 Executive Summary

A key part of the work undertaken by MIAA as your internal auditors involves us making recommendations to improve and strengthen governance, risk management and controls to support the organisation in achieving its objectives. To verify that the benefits of the recommendations are achieved, it is necessary to subsequently follow up on implementation of agreed actions, in order to fully assess:

- Whether implementation has occurred or been superseded by further events; and
- Whether the actions have produced the intended effect.

Follow-up is, therefore, a vital aspect of the internal audit process and it is our policy, in accordance with the Internal Audit plan, to revisit previous assignments.

The table overleaf sets out the areas and recommendations which have been reviewed this time and the level of progress which has been made. Our review confirms that good progress has been made in implementing recommendations.



| Audit Report | Total No. of Recs to be followed up | Implemented | | Par | rtial | | No | t Impl | emen | ted | S | | seded/ cepted | | Not | Yet Fo | llowe | d Up | Comments |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------|---|-----|-------|---|----|--------|------|-----|---|---|------------------|---|-----|--------|-------|------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | С | Н | М | L | С | Н | М | L | С | н | М | L | С | Н | М | L | |
| 2018/19 | | | • | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Performance Reporting | 4 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | Complete |
| 2020/21 | | | · | | | • | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Blue Light Collaboration (BLC) | 3 | - | - | 3 | - | _ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | Rec 1 High (Partially Implemented) Blue Light Agreement and Service Specs update. Rec 2 High (Partially Implemented) Review of service key performance indicators. Rec 3 High (Partially Implemented) Governance and oversight of arrangements. Management Update: The Blue Light Collaboration audit was completed back in July 2021. There were a number of recommendations that were essentially held in abeyance pending a body of work involving the Service and Constabulary. This work has led to reviews of all of the Joint Corporate Services (considering efficiency and effectiveness) and to the completion of the 'Steady State' Collaboration Agreement (in December 2023). The Service and |



| Audit Report | Total No. of Recs to be followed up | Implemented | | Par | rtial | | No | t Impl | emen | ted | | | seded, | | Not | Yet Fo | ollowe | ed Up | Comments |
|--------------|----------------------------------------|-------------|---|-----|-------|---|----|--------|------|-----|---|---|--------|---|-----|--------|--------|-------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | С | Н | М | L | С | Н | М | L | С | Н | М | L | С | н | М | L | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Constabulary have agreed to the disestablishment of a number of the Joint Corporate Services as a result of the reviews and will be considering the final set of reviews in early 2024. In the meantime, the Service and Constabulary have committed to continue the collaboration, with improved governance. During the first quarter of 2024-25 Management will return to the audit recommendations with a view to providing evidence that they have been appropriately dealt with. |
| 2021/22 | | | | | | | | | ı | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cyber | 5 | 1 | - | 1 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | Rec 1: High (Partially Implemented – 4 of 6 aspects completed) 3 rd party supplier security. In progress further evidence of supplier security arrangements to be provided. Revised Date: 31/3/24 Rec 2 High (Complete) Rec 3: Medium (Partially Implemented – 2 of 4 aspects completed) Training system |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Date extended to evidence to confirm that actions required from the CISO ISO 27001 baseline control set |



| Audit Report | Total No. of Recs to be followed up | Implemented | | Par | rtial | | No | t Impl | emen | ted | S | | seded/ ccepted | | Not | Yet F | ollowe | d Up | Comments |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|---|-----|-------|---|----|--------|------|-----|---|---|-------------------|---|-----|-------|--------|------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | С | Н | М | L | С | Н | М | L | С | Н | М | L | С | Н | М | L | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | assessment for the training network are complete and that all data exchange arrangements for the training network are confirmed as being in place. Revised Date: 31/3/24 Rec 4: Medium (Partially Implemented 2 of 4 aspects completed) System criticality. Evidence of service catalogue review and prioritisation is required. Revised Date: 31/3/24 Rec 5: Medium (Partially Implemented 1 of 5 aspects completed) Incident Learning Date extended to ensure that reference to learning and training post incident is reflected in the Security Incident Policy and the Training Plan. Revised Date: 31/3/24 |
| Working Time Arrangements | 5 | 1 | - | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | Rec 1: High (Partially Implemented) Monitoring Opt Outs. Revised Working Time Policy has been developed awaiting review and sign off by approval. Revised Date 31/3/24 |



| Audit Report | Total No. of Recs to be followed up | Implemented | | Par | rtial | | No | t Impl | emen | ted | S | | seded/ cepted | | Not | Yet Fo | ollowe | ed Up | Comments |
|--------------|----------------------------------------|-------------|---|-----|-------|---|----|--------|------|-----|---|---|------------------|---|-----|--------|--------|-------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | С | Н | М | L | С | Н | М | L | С | Н | М | L | С | Н | М | L | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Rec 2: High (Partially Implemented) - Address Single point of Failure |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Date extended as CFRS are currently looking at transferring the knowledge to several personnel within the team to reduce the risk of failure. |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Revised Date 31/3/24 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Rec 3: Medium (Partially Implemented)- Monitoring 48hr breaches |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Revised Fatigue Management Policy being drafted with improved management and staff conversations in relation to breaches. A new staffing hub has been formed. One of the key tasks is to ensure overtime (PAS) is restricted to 2 per person per month. This is applied as per the duty agreement. |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Revised Date 31/3/24 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Rec 4: Medium (Partially Implemented) Policies and Procedures |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | In progress |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Revised Date 31/3/24 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Rec 5 : Low - Complete |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |



| Audit Report | Total No. of Recs to be followed up | Implemented | | Par | tial | | No | t Impl | emen | ted | | | rseded, ccepte | | Not | Yet Fo | ollowe | ed Up | Comments |
|-----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------|---|-----|------|---|----|--------|------|-----|---|---|-------------------|---|-----|--------|--------|-------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | С | Н | М | L | С | Н | М | L | С | Н | М | L | С | н | М | L | |
| 2022/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Business Continuity | 3 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | Complete |
| Microsoft 365 (M365) Project Rollout | 6 | 3 | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | Rec 1: High – Complete Rec 2: High (Partially Implemented) Document and implement a data retention, cleansing and archiving strategy, and schedule. Will commence once new resource takes up post. Revised Date 31/3/24 Rec 3: High – Complete Rec 4: Medium (Partially Implemented) Data management including defining data classification/labelling, retention, cleansing and archiving policy. New Information Management / data governance resource starts in Jan 2024 Revised Date 31/3/24 Rec 5: Medium (Partially Implemented) Project closedown Project closedown anticipated Feb 24 |



| Audit Report | Total No. of Recs to be followed up | Implemented | | Par | rtial | | No | t Imp | lemen | ited | \$ | | seded/ ccepted | | Not | Yet Fo | ollowe | d Up | Comments |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------|---|-----|-------|---|----|-------|-------|------|----|---|-------------------|---|-----|--------|--------|------|-------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | С | Н | М | L | С | Н | М | L | С | Н | М | L | С | Н | М | L | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Revised Date 31/3/24 Rec 6 Change control – Complete |
| Station Management Framework | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | Complete |
| TOTAL | 27 | 13 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |



Appendix A: Assurance Definitions and Risk Classifications

| Level of Assurance | Description |
|--------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| High | There is a strong system of internal control which has been effectively designed to meet the system objectives, and that controls are consistently applied in all areas reviewed. |
| Substantial | There is a good system of internal control designed to meet the system objectives, and that controls are generally being applied consistently. |
| Moderate | There is an adequate system of internal control, however, in some areas weaknesses in design and/or inconsistent application of controls puts the achievement of some aspects of the system objectives at risk. |
| Limited | There is a compromised system of internal control as weaknesses in the design and/or inconsistent application of controls puts the achievement of the system objectives at risk. |
| No | There is an inadequate system of internal control as weaknesses in control, and/or consistent non- compliance with controls could/has resulted in failure to achieve the system objectives. |

| Risk Rating | Assessment Rationale |
|----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Critical | Control weakness that could have a significant impact upon, not only the system, function or process objectives but also the achievement of the organisation's objectives in relation to: |
| | the efficient and effective use of resources the safeguarding of assets the preparation of reliable financial and operational information compliance with laws and regulations. |
| High | Control weakness that has or is likely to have a significant impact upon the achievement of key system, function or process objectives. This weakness, whilst high impact for the system, function or process does not have a significant impact on the achievement of the overall organisation objectives. |
| Medium | Control weakness that: has a low impact on the achievement of the key system, function or process objectives; has exposed the system, function or process to a key risk, however the likelihood of this risk occurring is low. |
| Low | Control weakness that does not impact upon the achievement of key system, function or process objectives; however implementation of the recommendation would improve overall control. |



Appendix B: Report Distribution

| Name | Title |
|-------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Paul Vaughan | Treasurer |
| Andrew Leadbetter | Director of Governance |
| Alex Waller | Chief Fire Officer |
| Chris Astall | Planning, Performance and Risk Officer |
| Audit Committee | |





Anne-marie Harrop

Regional Assurance Director

Tel: 07920 150313

Email: Anne-marie.harrop@miaa.nhs.uk

Charles Black

Audit Manager Tel: 07554332410

Email: Charles.black@miaa.nhs.uk

Limitations

Reports prepared by MIAA are prepared for your sole use and no responsibility is taken by MIAA or the auditors to any director or officer in their individual capacity. No responsibility to any third party is accepted as the report has not been prepared for, and is not intended for, any other purpose and a person who is not a party to the agreement for the provision of Internal Audit and shall not have any rights under the Contracts (Rights of Third Parties) Act 1999.

Public Sector Internal Audit Standards

Our work was completed in accordance with Public Sector Internal Audit Standards and conforms with the International Standards for the Professional Practice of Internal Auditing.